

M.Cr.C. No.3194/2014

17.3.2016

Shri Alok Vagrecha, counsel for the applicant.

Shri Vikas Jain, counsel for the respondent.

Counsel for the respondent prays for adjournment on the ground that the Advocate on record for the respondent is adjusted for today.

That can be no ground for adjournment especially when the matter has been listed today by consent of the parties under caption “**Settlement**” and more so, when the applicant is opposing grant of adjournment, as he has been denied work visa because of pendency of this matter.

As a result, by way of indulgence, we defer the hearing of this matter till **21.3.2016**. To be listed under caption “**Settlement.**”

It is made clear that no request for adjournment on any count at the instance of the respondent will be entertained on next date in this matter. If any such request is made by the respondent, it would be assumed that the respondent is attempting to protract the proceedings to harass the applicant and the matter will proceed on that basis. In other words, no request for adjournment at the instance of the respondent will be entertained on that day.

Parties to remain present personally or through their authorized representatives before the Court on **21.3.2016**.

Besides this matter, Cr. R. No.1284/2014 pending between the same parties before this Court be also notified alongwith this matter on the next date, so that the same can be disposed of on the basis of settlement terms.

(A. M. Khanwilkar)
Chief Justice

(Sanjay Yadav)
Judge

Anchal